

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/781,077	02/09/2001	James L. Holloway	00-18	7482
75	590 09/15/2004		EXAMINER	
SHELBY J WALKER			SAOUD, CHRISTINE J	
ZYMOGENTICS INC 1201 EASTLAKE AVENUE EAST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, WA			1647	
			DATE MAILED: 09/15/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/781,077	HOLLOWAY ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christine J. Saoud	1647	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice ) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply ch places the applica	y to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 C	ing date of the final rejection  THE FINAL REJECTION.  FR 1.136(a) and the appro	on. See MPEP opriate extension
ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officially filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	the shortened statutory period for replice later than three months after the man	y originally set in the final	Office action; or
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF</li> </ol>	s Brief must be filed within the p R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.	
<ol><li>The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be</li></ol>	ecause:		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	S.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se	reconsideration has been con the Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does NO	Γ place the
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	t(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or ould be rejected is provided be	b)⊡ will be entered a low or appended.	ind an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	•	
10. Other:	Ţ		
		RISTINE J. SAOUD MARY EXAMINER	
	, Γ <b>η</b> ι		

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it is not found persuasive. Substantially similar arguments to those previously presented.

CHRISTINE J. SAOUD
PRIMARY EXAMINER
Christin J. Saoud